

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/020,980	12/19/2001		Jung-wan Ko	1293.1071D3	1189
21171	7590	12/17/2002			
STAAS & HALSEY LLP				EXAMINER	
700 11TH STREET, NW SUITE 500				PSITOS, ARISTOTELIS M	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
					THE SKITCH DEK
				2653 DATE MAILED: 12/17/2002	#12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/020.980 KO ET AL. Advisory Action Examiner **Art Unit** Aristotelis M Psitos 2653 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 04 December 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet. 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) ____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see continuation sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-8. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

Aristotelis M Psitos
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 2653

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

10. ☑ Other: with respect to the ids

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 8.

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)





Application No. 10/020,980

Continuation of 2B. The amendment to claim 4 does not simplify the issues, i.e., the 112 rejection is not overcomed by the amendment and hence is not entered.

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejections relying upon the Nozaki et al document as indicated in paragraphs 12 & 13 in the Final rejection.

Applicants' argumnets with respect to the primary reference to Kuroda et al are not convincing because as indicated in col. 3, lines 10-20 not only is there RMD data, but also RMD area. The examiner interprets these phrases as being the subject matter claimed in the present application. Applicants' have not presented any evidence to the contrary.

with respect to the 103 rejections, the examiner maintains such. The examiner considers the teaching from McFerrin to supply the motivation in order to modify the base system of Kuroda et al inorder to provide for duplicate areas containing the appropriate coding to insure that such information can be reporduced and acted upon by a CPU unit during subsequent system operations. Additionally, the selection from amoung equaly useable bit positions is a selection between equivalent bits. Nothing applicant has presented convinces the examiner that some unexpected result(s) occur(s) from such a selection.

Continuation of 10: With respect to the submitted IDS, since it fails to comply with the requirements of MPEP 609 see B(3), after period B(2) (that is after FINAL REJECTION).

The chamings have been entered.